

Table of contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <i>List of abbreviations</i> | 11 |
| <i>Glossary</i> | 15 |
| 1 Introduction | 27 |
| <i>J. Peter BURGESS and Dariusz KLOZA</i> | |
| 2 The concept of impact assessment | 31 |
| <i>Dariusz KLOZA, Niels VAN DIJK, Simone CASIRAGHI, Sergi VAZQUEZ MAYMIR and Alessia TANAS</i> | |
| 2.1 Introduction | 31 |
| 2.2 Impact assessment | 32 |
| 2.2.1 Overview | 32 |
| 2.2.2 History | 33 |
| 2.2.3 The benefits of impact assessment | 34 |
| 2.2.4 The drawbacks of impact assessment | 35 |
| 2.2.5 Integration of evaluation techniques | 36 |
| 2.3 The framework for impact assessment | 37 |
| 2.4 A generic method for impact assessment | 39 |
| 3 Privacy | 49 |
| <i>Nikolaos IOANNIDIS</i> | |
| 3.1 Introduction | 49 |
| 3.1.1 Definition of privacy | 49 |
| 3.1.2 Historical development of the right to privacy in Western legal systems | 49 |
| 3.1.3 The importance of the right to privacy in society | 50 |
| 3.1.4 The importance of the right to privacy in the PERSONA benchmark | 51 |
| 3.1.5 Relevant regulatory instruments and actors involved | 52 |
| 3.2 The contents of (the right to) privacy | 54 |
| 3.2.1 Legal conceptualisations | 54 |
| 3.2.2 Theoretical conceptualisations | 56 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 4 | Personal data protection | 61 |
| | <i>Nikolaos IOANNIDIS</i> | |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 61 |
| 4.1.1 | Definition and development of the right to personal data protection | 61 |
| 4.1.2 | The importance of data protection in society | 62 |
| 4.1.3 | The role of data protection in the benchmark | 62 |
| 4.1.4 | List of relevant regulatory instruments and systems | 63 |
| 4.1.5 | Connection with other fundamental rights | 65 |
| 4.2 | Key concepts and actors | 65 |
| 4.2.1 | Personal data and data subject | 65 |
| 4.2.2 | Data controller | 66 |
| 4.2.3 | Data processor | 66 |
| 4.2.4 | Data protection authorities | 67 |
| 4.3 | Principles of EU data protection law | 67 |
| 4.3.1 | Lawfulness, fairness, transparency | 67 |
| 4.3.2 | Purpose limitation | 68 |
| 4.3.3 | Data minimisation | 69 |
| 4.3.4 | Data accuracy | 69 |
| 4.3.5 | Storage limitation | 69 |
| 4.4 | Legal requirements | 70 |
| 4.4.1 | Lawfulness of processing | 70 |
| 4.4.2 | Accountability and compliance | 71 |
| 4.4.3 | Data transfers | 73 |
| 4.5 | Data subject rights | 74 |
| 4.5.1 | Right to be informed | 74 |
| 4.5.2 | Right of access by the data subject | 75 |
| 4.5.3 | Right to rectification | 75 |
| 4.5.4 | Right to erasure ('right to be forgotten') | 75 |
| 4.5.5 | Right to restriction of processing | 75 |
| 4.5.6 | Right to data portability | 76 |
| 4.5.7 | Right to object | 76 |
| 4.5.8 | Right not to be subject to automated decision-making, including profiling | 76 |
| 4.5.9 | Data subject rights in border control | 76 |
| 5 | Ethics and border control technologies | 81 |
| | <i>Simone CASIRAGHI, J. Peter BURGESS and Kristoffer LIDÉN</i> | |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 81 |
| 5.1.1 | Definition of ethics | 81 |
| 5.1.2 | The importance of ethics for society | 82 |
| 5.1.3 | The role of ethics in the benchmark | 82 |

Table of contents

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 5.1.4 | Historical development of applied ethics | 83 |
| 5.1.5 | The profile for assessing ethics | 84 |
| 5.1.6 | Literature overview | 85 |
| 5.2 | Ethical arguments and fallacies | 86 |
| 5.2.1 | Ethics and technology arguments | 86 |
| 5.2.2 | Normative ethics | 88 |
| 5.2.3 | Types of ethical fallacies | 91 |
| 6 | Social acceptance and border control technologies | 99 |
| | <i>Simone CASIRAGHI, J. Peter BURGESS and Kristoffer LIDÉN</i> | |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 99 |
| 6.1.1 | Definition of the social acceptance | 99 |
| 6.1.2 | The importance of social acceptance for society | 100 |
| 6.1.3 | The role of social acceptance in the benchmark | 101 |
| 6.1.4 | Historical development of social acceptance | 102 |
| 6.1.5 | The profile needed for assessing social acceptance | 103 |
| 6.1.6 | Literature overview | 103 |
| 6.2 | Social acceptance concepts and misconceptions | 105 |
| 6.2.1 | What social acceptance is about | 105 |
| 6.2.2 | What social acceptance is <i>not</i> about | 109 |
| 7 | Border management law in the European Union | 117 |
| | <i>Alessandra CALVI</i> | |
| 7.1 | Introduction | 117 |
| 7.1.1 | The concept of border management | 117 |
| 7.1.2 | How border management laws and policies affect fundamental rights: the case of EU large-scale databases and their interoperability | 119 |
| 7.1.3 | How border control technologies affect fundamental rights | 121 |
| 7.2 | The historical development of border management law in the EU | 122 |
| 7.3 | Legal and regulatory instruments governing border management in the EU | 124 |
| 7.4 | Actors involved in border management in the EU | 125 |
| 7.5 | Legal requirements enshrining data protection, privacy and ethics in EU border management law | 126 |
| 7.5.1 | An introduction to the built-in safeguards system of EU border management law | 126 |
| 7.5.2 | Summary of the data protection, privacy and ethics requirements of the EU border control system | 127 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 8 | A tailored method for the process of integrated impact assessment on border control technologies in the European Union and the Schengen Area | 143 |
| | <i>Nikolaos IOANNIDIS, Simone CASIRAGHI, Alessandra CALVI and Dariusz KLOZA</i> | |
| | Introduction | 143 |
| | Overview of the method | 145 |
| | PHASE I: PREPARATION OF THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS | 146 |
| | Step 1: Screening (threshold analysis) | 146 |
| | Step 2: Scoping | 147 |
| | Step 3: Planning and Preparation | 149 |
| | PHASE II: ASSESSMENT | 151 |
| | Step 4: Systematic (detailed) description of the initiative | 151 |
| | Step 5: Appraisal of Impacts | 151 |
| | Step 6: Recommendations | 155 |
| | PHASE III: EX POST (EVENTUAL) STEPS | 157 |
| | Step 7: Prior Consultation with a Supervisory Authority | 157 |
| | Step 8: Revisiting | 158 |
| | ONGOING PHASE | 158 |
| | Step A: Stakeholder involvement | 158 |
| | Step B: Quality control | 159 |
| | Step C: Documentation | 160 |
| | Annexes | 161 |
| | Annex 1: A template for a report from the process of integrated impact assessment on border control technologies in the European Union and the Schengen Area | 163 |
| | <i>Nikolaos IOANNIDIS, Simone CASIRAGHI, Alessandra CALVI and Dariusz KLOZA</i> | |
| | Phase I: preparation of the assessment process | 165 |
| | Phase II: Assessment | 185 |
| | Phase III: <i>Ex post</i> (eventual) steps | 209 |
| | Annex 2: Inventory of stakeholder involvement techniques | 219 |
| | <i>Simone CASIRAGHI</i> | |
| | Annex 3: Inventory of appraisal techniques | 229 |
| | <i>Nikolaos IOANNIDIS</i> | |
| | 3.1 Introduction | 229 |
| | 3.2 Appraisal techniques explicitly required by the General Data Protection Regulation | 230 |

Table of contents

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| 3.3 | Supplementary appraisal techniques compatible with the General Data Protection Regulation | 234 |
| 3.4 | Standalone evaluation techniques | 238 |
| 3.5 | Technology ranking techniques | 248 |
| Annex 4: Inventory of relevant EU legal and regulatory instruments for border management | | 251 |
| <i>Alessandra CALVI</i> | | |
| 4.1 | Primary law | 251 |
| 4.2 | Secondary law | 251 |